

ACHIEVEMENT SETS OF CONDITIONALLY CONVERGENT SERIES

ARTUR BARTOSZEWICZ, SZYMON GLĄB, AND JACEK MARCHWICKI

ABSTRACT. Considering the sets of subsums of series (or achievement sets) we show that for conditionally convergent series the multidimensional case is much more complicated than that of the real line. Although we are far from the full topological classification of such sets, we present many surprising examples and catch the ideas standing behind them in general theorems.

1. INTRODUCTION

Probably, S. Kakeya [12] was the first one to consider topological properties of subsums of absolutely convergent series of real numbers. For the absolutely summable sequence (x_n) , we call the set $A(x_n) = \{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n x_n : (\varepsilon_n) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ the set of subsums or the achievement set [10]. Of course, for the sequence (x_n) with almost all terms equal to zero, the set $A(x_n)$ is finite. Kakeya has shown:

Theorem 1.1. *For an absolutely summable sequence with infinitely many nonzero terms*

- *The set $A(x_n)$ is a compact perfect set.*
- *If for almost all n $|x_n| > \sum_{k>n} |x_k|$ then $A(x_n)$ is homeomorphic to the Cantor set (after M. Moran we call such sequences quickly convergent)*
- *If for almost all n $|x_n| \leq \sum_{k>n} |x_k|$ then $A(x_n)$ is a finite union of closed intervals. Moreover it can be reversed for nonincreasing sequences $(|x_n|)$.*

Kakeya conjectured that Cantor-like sets and finite unions of closed intervals are the only possible achievement sets for sequences $(x_n) \in \ell_1 \setminus c_{00}$. The Kakeya results were rediscovered many times and his conjecture was repeated, even when the first counterexamples were given. The first counterexamples were published by Weinstein and Shapiro [20], Ferens [8] and Guthrie and Nymann [9]. Due to Guthrie, Nymann and Saenz [9, 17] we know that the achievement set of an absolutely summable sequence can be a finite set, a finite union of intervals, homeomorphic to the Cantor set or it can be a so called Cantorval. A Cantorval is a set homeomorphic to the union of the Cantor set and sets which are removed from the unite segment by even steps of the Cantor set construction. The last result gives the partition of ℓ_1 into four disjoint sets. Topological and algebraic properties of these sets were recently considered in [2, 3]. Some sufficient conditions for a given sequence to be a Cantorval were recently described in [1, 6, 10]. The connections between achievement sets of some absolutely summable sequences and self-similar sets were observed in the last of the above papers.

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ is an absolutely convergent series in Banach space, then the function $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \ni (\varepsilon_n) \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n x_n$ is a continuous function which maps the Cantor space $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ onto $A(x_n)$, see for example [4]. In particular, the achievement set $A(x_n)$ is compact. We will prove that this function is continuous also if the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ is unconditionally convergent. It will follow immediately from Lemma 1.2. It is well-known [11, Theorem 1.3.2, p. 10] that a series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ in a Banach space is unconditionally convergent if and only if each series of the form $\sum_{n \in A} x_n$, $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, is convergent.

Lemma 1.2. *Assume that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ is an unconditionally convergent series in a Banach space X . Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$*

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in A \setminus \{1, \dots, N\}} x_k \right\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every N there is $A \subseteq \{N+1, N+2, \dots\}$ with

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in A} x_k \right\| > \varepsilon.$$

For $N = 1$ find A_1 with $\left\| \sum_{k \in A_1} x_k \right\| > \varepsilon$. There is a finite set $F_1 \subseteq A_1$ with $\left\| \sum_{k \in F_1} x_k \right\| > \varepsilon$. In the second step for $N = \max F_1$ find $A_2 \subseteq \{N+1, N+2, \dots\}$ with $\left\| \sum_{k \in A_2} x_k \right\| > \varepsilon$. As before we take a finite set $F_2 \subseteq A_2$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 40A05 ; Secondary: 11K31.

Key words and phrases. achievement set, set of subsums, conditionally convergent series, sum range.

The second author has been supported by the National Science Centre Poland Grant no. DEC-2012/07/D/ST1/02087.

with $\|\sum_{k \in F_2} x_k\| > \varepsilon$. Proceeding inductively, we produce finite sets $F_1, F_2, \dots$ such that $\max F_i < \min F_{i+1}$ and $\|\sum_{k \in F_i} x_k\| > \varepsilon$. Put $A = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} F_i$. Then by the Cauchy condition the series $\sum_{n \in A} x_n$ diverges which yields a contradiction. $\square$

One can define the achievement sets also for all sequences in Banach spaces. Then there should be considered only these sequences $(\varepsilon_n) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ for which a series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n x_n$ is convergent.

Theorem 1.3. *For sequences of reals with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$ we have:*

- *A series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ is potentially conditionally convergent (both series of positive and negative terms are divergent) if and only if $A(x_n) = \mathbb{R}$.*
- *A series of negative terms is convergent and a series of positive terms is divergent (or vice versa) if and only if the achievement set of (x_n) is a half line.*

For simple proofs see for example [5, 10, 18]. If (x_n) does not converge to zero, then $A(x_n)$ is always F_σ -set [10]. So, for conditionally convergent series of reals the achievement set $A(x_n)$ is equal to $\mathbb{R}$, which is exactly the same set as the sum range $SR(x_n)$, i.e. the set of rearrangements $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{\sigma(n)}$, by the classical Riemann Theorem on permutations of conditionally convergent series. The aim of the present paper is to show that a situation in multidimensional spaces is quite different. We observe among others that for the achievement set $A(x_n)$ of conditionally convergent series in $\mathbb{R}^2$ the following are possible.

- The intersection of $A(x_n)$ and $SR(x_n)$ could be a singleton and moreover we mention that it is always nonempty set (Example 3.1);
- $A(x_n)$ can be a graph of function (Example 3.5);
- $A(x_n)$ can be a dense set in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with an empty interior (Example 3.13);
- $A(x_n)$ can be neither F_σ nor G_δ -set (Theorem 3.10);
- $A(x_n)$ can be an open set not equal to the whole $\mathbb{R}^2$ (Theorem 4.2);

On the other hand to obtain regular achievement sets let us make a simple observation. Let X be a Banach space. Assume that $A = A(x_n)$ and $B = A(y_n)$ are achievement sets in X . Then

$$A \times B = A((x_1, 0), (0, y_1), (x_2, 0), (0, y_2), \dots).$$

If $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is a bounded linear operator from X to some other Banach space Y , then

$$T(A) = A(Tx_1, Tx_2, Tx_3, \dots).$$

Now take any conditionally convergent series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ and absolutely convergent series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$, both on the real line. Then $A(x_n) = \mathbb{R}$ and $A(y_n) = C$ is a compact set. By the above observation there are conditionally convergent series on the plane such that their achievement sets equal $\mathbb{R} \times C$, $\mathbb{R}^2$ or any rotation of $\mathbb{R} \times C$.

By our knowledge the achievement sets of series in multidimensional spaces were considered in a few papers only. For example in [15, 16] the author has studied quickly convergent series. In [4] the series considered by the authors are also absolutely convergent. So our paper is probably the first one on achievement sets of conditionally convergent series in $\mathbb{R}^n$ for n greater than one. On the other hand properties of sum range sets are well-studied [11]. The strong suggestion to consider achievement sets of series in multidimensional spaces was given by Nitecki in his nice lecture [18] (the shorter version of this survey is [19]).

2. CARDINALITY OF ACHIEVEMENT SETS

In this section we study the cardinality of achievement sets in Banach spaces. Then we will prove that the achievement set of conditionally convergent set is *perfectly dense-in-itself*, that is for any point x which can be achieved and any $\varepsilon > 0$, the intersection of the achievement set and the ball $B(x, \varepsilon)$ contains a perfect set.

Proposition 2.1. *Let X Banach space and let (x_n) be a sequence of elements of X . Then*

- (i) *$A(x_n)$ is finite, if there are finite many non-zero x_n 's;*
- (ii) *$A(x_n)$ is infinite and countable, if there are infinite many non-zero x_n 's and there is $\delta > 0$, such that $\|x_k\| \geq \delta$ for any nonzero element x_k ; moreover if X is finitely dimensional, then $A(x_n)$ is unbounded;*
- (iii) *$A(x_n)$ contains a perfect set otherwise.*

Proof. (i) Let $x_{k_1}, \dots, x_{k_m}$ be a list of all non-zero terms of (x_n) . Then the cardinality of $A(x_n)$ is not greater than 2^m .

(ii) Since zero terms do not affect the achievement set, we may assume that our sequence (x_n) consists of non-zero elements. Firstly, we consider the case of $X = \mathbb{R}^k$ with the supremum norm. Then $x_n = (x_n(1), \dots, x_n(k))$. Without loss of generality we may assume that the set $F_j = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n(j) \geq \delta\}$ is infinite for some $j \leq k$. Hence $\sum_{n \in F_j} x_n(j) = \infty$, so the achievement set is unbounded and therefore infinite.

In general, if the set $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ spans a finitely dimensional space, then we may assume that X is finitely dimensional and therefore isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^k$ with the supremum norm. If $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ spans an infinitely dimensional space, then $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an infinite set contained in $A(x_n)$.

To see that $A(x_n)$ is countable, note that no subsequence of x_n converges to zero. Therefore every element of $A(x_n)$ is a sum of finitely many x_n 's.

(iii) The negation of the first two conditions means that there exists an infinite subsequence (x_{n_l}) of non-zero terms, which tends to 0. We may assume $\|x_{n_{l+1}}\| < \frac{\|x_{n_l}\|}{3}$ for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \ni (\varepsilon_l) \xrightarrow{f} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_l x_{n_l}$ is injective. To see this assume that $\bar{\varepsilon}_j \neq \varepsilon_j$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$\left\| \sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_l x_{n_l} - \sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} \bar{\varepsilon}_l x_{n_l} \right\| = \left\| \sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} (\varepsilon_l - \bar{\varepsilon}_l) x_{n_l} \right\| \leq \sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} \|x_{n_l}\| \leq \frac{3}{2} \|x_{n_{j+1}}\| < \|x_{n_j}\|.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{l=j}^{\infty} \varepsilon_l x_{n_l} - \sum_{l=j}^{\infty} \bar{\varepsilon}_l x_{n_l} \right\| &= \|\varepsilon_j x_{n_j} - \bar{\varepsilon}_j x_{n_j} + \sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_l x_{n_l} - \sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} \bar{\varepsilon}_l x_{n_l}\| \geq \\ &\geq \|\varepsilon_j x_{n_j} - \bar{\varepsilon}_j x_{n_j}\| - \left\| \sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_l x_{n_l} - \sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} \bar{\varepsilon}_l x_{n_l} \right\| = \|x_{n_j}\| - \left\| \sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_l x_{n_l} - \sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} \bar{\varepsilon}_l x_{n_l} \right\| > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that there exists $r < j$ such that $\bar{\varepsilon}_r \neq \varepsilon_r$. After finitely many steps we get $\bar{\varepsilon}_1 \neq \varepsilon_1$. But

$$\left\| \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_l x_{n_l} - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \bar{\varepsilon}_l x_{n_l} \right\| \geq \|x_{n_1}\| - \left\| \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \varepsilon_l x_{n_l} - \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \bar{\varepsilon}_l x_{n_l} \right\| > 0.$$

Since $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} x_{n_l}$ is absolutely convergent, the mapping f is continuous. Hence $A(x_{n_l}) \subseteq A(x_n)$ is a continuous injective image of the Cantor space $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. $\square$

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ be a conditionally convergent series in a Banach space X . Then $A(x_n)$ is perfectly dense in itself.*

Proof. Note that the set $\{\sum_{n \in F} x_n : F \text{ is finite}\}$ is dense in $A(x_n)$. Let $x \in A(x_n)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. There is a finite set F such that $\|\sum_{n \in F} x_n - x\| < \varepsilon/2$. Using the same method as in the proof of Proposition 2.1(iii), we find a subsequence x_{n_l} of x_n such that $\{n_l : l \in \mathbb{N}\} \cap F = \emptyset$, $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \|x_{n_l}\| < \varepsilon/2$ and $A(x_{n_l})$ is a perfect set. Then $\sum_{n \in F} x_n + A(x_{n_l}) \subseteq B(x, \varepsilon) \cap A(x_n)$. $\square$

3. ACHIEVEMENT SETS OF CONDITIONALLY CONVERGENT SERIES

We start this section from giving an instructive example of conditionally convergent series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n}, \frac{1}{2^n})$ on the plane. The achievement set of this series have several properties which show that the theory of achievement sets of conditionally convergent series in multidimensional spaces is much more complicated and interesting than that of one-dimensional series. The analysis of this example will lead us to make some general observations:

- First we note in Proposition 3.2 that every conditionally convergent series in $\mathbb{R}^n$ either has a sum range equal to $\mathbb{R}^n$ (*first type series*) or it is, up to linear isometry, of the form $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n, y_n)$ where $x_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $y_n \in \mathbb{R}^{m-k}$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ is conditionally convergent with a sum range equal to $\mathbb{R}^k$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$ is absolutely convergent (*second type series*).
- We will observe that the closure of $A(\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n}, \frac{1}{2^n})$ contains the sum range $SR(\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n}, \frac{1}{2^n})$. This is true in every Banach space (Lemma 3.3).
- We will observe that the closure of $A(\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n}, \frac{1}{2^n})$ equals $\mathbb{R} \times A(\frac{1}{2^n})$. A similar fact is true in Euclidean spaces (Theorem 3.6).
- The achievement set $A(\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n}, \frac{1}{2^n})$ is not closed. This phenomena is generalized in Theorem 3.8.
- A series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\frac{2}{3^n}, \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n})$ considered in Example 3.5, a slight modification of that from Example 3.1, has the achievement set which is neither F_σ nor G_δ . A wide class of series with that property is given in Theorem 3.10. In the proof of Theorem 3.10 we observe that an achievement set of conditionally convergent series is always an analytic (or Σ_1^1) set.

The series from Example 3.1 is of the first type. By Lemma 3.3 the achievement set of series of the second type is dense in the whole space. One can very easily give an example of series which achievement set is actually the whole space. It is much harder to give an example of series of the second type which achievement is smaller. We will present it in Example 3.13 – its achievement set will be a null subset of the plane.

The classical theorem of Steinitz states that if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ is conditionally convergent in $\mathbb{R}^m$, then the set $\text{SR}(x_n) = \{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{\sigma(n)} : \sigma \in S_{\infty}\}$ of all convergent rearrangements $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{\sigma(n)}$ of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ is an affine subspace of $\mathbb{R}^m$. More precisely, if $\Gamma = \{f \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^* : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(x_n)| < \infty\}$ is a set of all convergent functionals on $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$, and $\Gamma^{\perp} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \Gamma\}$ is an annihilator of Γ , then

$$\text{SR}(x_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n + \Gamma^{\perp}.$$

Example 3.1. Let $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, x_n^{(2)}) = (\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n}, \frac{1}{2^n})$ be terms of a series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then clearly $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n = (\log 2, 1)$. By Riemann theorem for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ one can find $\sigma \in S_{\infty}$ such that $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{\sigma(n)}^{(1)}$. Since the permutation of indices does not affect the value of absolutely convergent series, we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{\sigma(n)}^{(2)} = 1$. Hence $\text{SR}(x_n) = \mathbb{R} \times \{1\}$. Let $D = \{\sum_{n=1}^k \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2^n} : (\varepsilon_n) \in \{0, 1\}^k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be the set of all dyadic numbers from interval $[0, 1)$. Then for every $d \in D$ there are $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\varepsilon_n) \in \{0, 1\}^k$ with $d = \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2^n}$. Put $F_d := \{n \leq k : \varepsilon_n = 1\}$. After removing finitely many terms from conditionally convergent series, we still have conditionally convergent series. Therefore $\text{SR}((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus F_d}) = \mathbb{R} \times \{1 - d\}$.

From Lemma 3.3 we get $\text{SR}((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus F_d}) \subseteq \overline{\text{A}((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus F_d})} \subseteq \overline{\text{A}(x_n)}$. Since $d \in D \iff 1 - d \in D$, then $\bigcup_{d \in D} (\mathbb{R} \times \{d\}) \subseteq \overline{\text{A}(x_n)}$ and consequently $\overline{\bigcup_{d \in D} (\mathbb{R} \times \{d\})} \subseteq \overline{\text{A}(x_n)}$. But D is dense in the interval $[0, 1]$, so we have:

$$\overline{\bigcup_{d \in D} (\mathbb{R} \times \{d\})} = \mathbb{R} \times \overline{\bigcup_{d \in D} \{d\}} = \mathbb{R} \times \overline{D} = \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1].$$

Thus $\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1] \subseteq \overline{\text{A}(x_n)}$. The reverse inclusion is obvious; therefore $\overline{\text{A}(x_n)} = \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$. Suppose $(z, 1) \in \text{A}(x_n)$. The only way to get $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n x_n^{(2)} = 1$ for some $(\varepsilon_n) \subseteq \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is to take $\varepsilon_n = 1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $z = \log 2$. That proves that the only point which belongs to an achievement set with the second coordinate 1 is $(\log 2, 1)$. Thus $\text{A}(x_n)$ is not closed. Finally note that $\text{SR}(x_n) = \mathbb{R} \times \{1\}$. Therefore $\text{A}(x_n) \cap \text{SR}(x_n) = \{(\log 2, 1)\}$.

The following observation, which is probably mathematical folklore, allows us to consider only two types of conditionally convergent series in Euclidean spaces.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ be a conditionally convergent series in $\mathbb{R}^m$ such that $\dim(\Gamma^{\perp}) = k < m$. Then there exists an isomorphism $(T_1, T_2) \in L(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{m-k})$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_1(x_n)$ is conditionally convergent with $\text{SR}(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_1(x_n)) = \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_2(x_n)$ is absolutely convergent in $\mathbb{R}^{m-k}$.

Proof. Let k be a dimension of $\Gamma^{\perp}$ and let Y be orthogonal to $\Gamma^{\perp}$ so that $\mathbb{R}^m = \Gamma^{\perp} \oplus Y$. Let $e_1, \dots, e_m$ be a standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^m$ and let $e'_1, \dots, e'_m$ be an orthogonal basis of $\Gamma^{\perp} \oplus Y$ such that $\Gamma^{\perp} = \text{span}\{e'_1, \dots, e'_k\}$ and $Y = \text{span}\{e'_{k+1}, \dots, e'_m\}$. Let $T : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \Gamma^{\perp} \oplus Y$ be a linear isomorphism such that $T(e_i) = e'_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, m$. For $x = \sum_{i=1}^m x(i)e_i$ let $T_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k x(i)e'_i$ and $T_2(x) = \sum_{i=k+1}^m x(i)e'_i$. Then $T = (T_1, T_2)$. Let $f_i(x) = x(i)$. Then $f_i \in \Gamma$ for $i > k$, which means that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|T_2(x_n)\| < \infty$.

Let $\Lambda = \{f \in (\Gamma^{\perp})^* : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(T_1(x_n))| < \infty\}$. Let $\pi_{\leq k} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ be a projection onto the first k coordinates. For $f \in (\Gamma^{\perp})^*$ define $\tilde{f} \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^*$ as $\tilde{f}(x) = f(\pi_{\leq k}(x))$. Then $f \in \Lambda \iff \tilde{f} \in \Gamma \iff \tilde{f} = 0 \iff f = 0$. Thus $\Lambda = \{0\}$ and by Steinitz theorem $\text{SR}(T_1(x_n)) = \Lambda^{\perp} = \mathbb{R}^k$. $\square$

Note that T from Proposition 3.2 does not change geometrical and topological properties of subsets of $\mathbb{R}^m$. Therefore we will assume that a conditionally convergent series in $\mathbb{R}^m$ can be rearranged to get any point of $\mathbb{R}^m$ or it is of the form $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n, y_n)$ where $x_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $y_n \in \mathbb{R}^{m-k}$, $\text{SR}(x_n) = \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$ is absolutely convergent.

The following lemma shows a relation between the sum range and the achievement set of the series.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ be a conditionally convergent series in a Banach space X . Then $\text{SR}(x_n) \subseteq \overline{\text{A}(x_n)}$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \text{SR}(x_n)$, then $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{\sigma(n)}$ for some $\sigma \in S_{\infty}$. One can find natural number k such that $\|x - \sum_{n=1}^k x_{\sigma(n)}\| < \varepsilon$. Denote $A = \{m : \sigma(n) = m, n \leq k\} = \sigma(\{1, \dots, k\})$ and define the sequence $\varepsilon_n = 1$ for $n \in A$ and $\varepsilon_n = 0$ otherwise. Then $\sum_{n=1}^k x_{\sigma(n)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n x_n$, so $\|x - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n x_n\| < \varepsilon$. Hence $x \in \overline{\text{A}(x_n)}$. $\square$

Lemma 3.3 implies that the achievement sets of conditionally convergent series in finite dimensional spaces are unbounded. The situation changes in infinitely dimensional Banach spaces.

Example 3.4. Let (e_n) be a standard basis of c_0 . Let (x_n) be a sequence of the form

$$e_1, -e_1, \frac{1}{2}e_2, -\frac{1}{2}e_2, \frac{1}{2}e_2, -\frac{1}{2}e_2, \frac{1}{3}e_3, -\frac{1}{3}e_3, \frac{1}{3}e_3, -\frac{1}{3}e_3, \frac{1}{3}e_3, -\frac{1}{3}e_3, \dots$$

Then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ is convergent to zero, while its rearrangement

$$e_1 - e_1 + \frac{1}{2}e_2 + \frac{1}{2}e_2 - \frac{1}{2}e_2 - \frac{1}{2}e_2 + \frac{1}{3}e_3 + \frac{1}{3}e_3 + \frac{1}{3}e_3 - \frac{1}{3}e_3 - \frac{1}{3}e_3 - \frac{1}{3}e_3 + \dots$$

is divergent, since the sequence of its partial sums contains each e_i . Since the projection of the series on each coordinate contains only finitely many nonzero terms and a finite sum does not change under rearrangements, $\text{SR}(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n) = \{0\}$. Let $X_n = \{\frac{k}{n} : k \in [-n, n] \cap \mathbb{Z}\}$. Note that $A(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n) = (\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n) \cap c_0$. Therefore the achievement set $A(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n)$ is closed and bounded.

It can happen that $\text{SR}(x_n) = A(x_n)$. For example

- $A(\frac{(-1)^n}{n}, 0) = \mathbb{R} \times \{0\} = \text{SR}(\frac{(-1)^n}{n}, 0)$;
- $A(\frac{(-1)^n}{n}, \frac{(-1)^n}{n}) = \{(x, x) \in \mathbb{R}\} = \text{SR}(\frac{(-1)^n}{n}, \frac{(-1)^n}{n})$;
- Let the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$ be conditionally convergent on the real line. Then

$$A((x_1, 0), (0, y_1), (x_2, 0), (0, y_2), \dots) = \mathbb{R}^2 = \text{SR}((x_1, 0), (0, y_1), (x_2, 0), (0, y_2), \dots).$$

Note that if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ is a conditionally convergent series in a Banach space X , then the intersection of $A(x_n)$ and $\text{SR}(x_n)$ is non empty; more precisely $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \in A(x_n) \cap \text{SR}(x_n)$. Note that in Example 3.1 the intersection $A(x_n) \cap \text{SR}(x_n)$ is actually a singleton.

Next example is a slight modification of Example 3.1.

Example 3.5. Let $(x_k, y_k) = (\frac{2}{3^k}, \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k})$. Since the mapping $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \ni (\varepsilon_k) \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k x_k$ is one-to-one, the achievement set $A(x_k, y_k)$ is a graph of function f with a domain contained in the ternary Cantor set. Moreover f maps onto $\mathbb{R}$, and its domain is $F_{\sigma\delta}$ set which is not $G_{\delta\sigma}$, which will follow from Theorem 3.10.

Using the idea from Example 3.1 we will prove the following.

Theorem 3.6. Let (x_n) be a sequence in $\mathbb{R}^k$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ is conditionally convergent with $\text{SR}(x_n) = \mathbb{R}^k$ and let (y_n) be a sequence in $\mathbb{R}^m$ such that a series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$ is absolutely convergent. Then $\overline{A(x_n, y_n)} = \mathbb{R}^k \times A(y_n)$.

Proof. " $\subseteq$ ". It is easy to see that $A(x_n, y_n) \subseteq A(x_n) \times A(y_n)$. Therefore

$$\overline{A(x_n, y_n)} \subseteq \overline{A(x_n) \times A(y_n)} = \overline{A(x_n)} \times \overline{A(y_n)}.$$

Since $\text{SR}(x_n) = \mathbb{R}^k$, by Lemma 3.3 we get $\overline{A(x_n)} = \mathbb{R}^k$. By the absolute convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$ we get the compactness of its achievement set, so $\overline{A(y_n)} = A(y_n)$.

" $\supseteq$ ". Let $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times A(y_n)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n y_n$ for some $(\varepsilon_n) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, there exists $k_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|y - \sum_{n=1}^N \varepsilon_n y_n\| < \varepsilon$ for every $N \geq k_\varepsilon$. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$ is absolutely convergent we may assume that $\sum_{n=k_\varepsilon+1}^{\infty} \|y_n\| < \varepsilon$. Let $K = \{n \leq k_\varepsilon : \varepsilon_n = 1\} = \{k_1 < \dots < k_l\}$. Define $\sigma(n) = k_n$ for $n \in \{1, \dots, l\}$.

Note that if $\text{SR}(x_n)$ is the whole space $\mathbb{R}^k$, then $\text{SR}((x_n)_{n \geq k_\varepsilon+1})$ is the whole space $\mathbb{R}^k$ as well. In particular $x - \sum_{n=1}^l x_{k_n} \in \text{SR}((x_n)_{n \geq k_\varepsilon+1})$. Therefore there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and a one-to-one mapping $\tau : \{k_\varepsilon+1, \dots, M\} \rightarrow \{k_\varepsilon+1, k_\varepsilon+2, \dots\}$ such that $\|x - \sum_{n=1}^l x_{k_n} - \sum_{n=k_\varepsilon+1}^M x_{\tau(n)}\| < \varepsilon$. Enumerate the range $\tau(\{k_\varepsilon+1, k_\varepsilon+2, \dots, M\})$ as $\{k_{l+1} < \dots < k_{l+l'}\}$ and define the sequence:

$$\delta_n = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_n, & n \leq k_\varepsilon; \\ 1, & n = k_{l+i} \text{ for } i \in \{1, \dots, l'\}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n y_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n y_n\| = \|\sum_{n=k_\varepsilon+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n y_n - \sum_{n=k_\varepsilon+1}^{\infty} \delta_n y_n\| = \|\sum_{n=k_\varepsilon+1}^{\infty} (\varepsilon_n - \delta_n) y_n\| \leq \sum_{n=k_\varepsilon+1}^{\infty} \|y_n\| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence $\|y - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n y_n\| < \varepsilon$. Moreover

$$\|x - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n x_n\| = \|x - \sum_{n \leq k_\varepsilon} \delta_n x_n - \sum_{n=1}^{l'} \delta_{k_{l+n}} x_{k_{l+n}}\| = \|x - \sum_{n \leq k_\varepsilon} \varepsilon_n x_n - \sum_{n=l+1}^{l+l'} x_{k_n}\| =$$

$$\|x - \sum_{n \in K} x_n - \sum_{n=l+1}^{l+l'} x_{k_n}\| = \|x - \sum_{n=1}^l x_{k_n} - \sum_{n=k_\varepsilon+1}^M x_{\tau(n)}\| < \varepsilon.$$

Since the norms in finite dimensional spaces are equivalent, there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\|(x, y) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n(x_n, y_n)\| \leq C \cdot \max\{\|x - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n x_n\|, \|y - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n y_n\|\} < C \cdot \varepsilon.$$

Finally we get $(x, y) \in \overline{A(x_n, y_n)}$ which finishes the proof. $\square$

Now, we present the sufficient condition for conditionally convergent series to have a not closed achievement set. Here we use again the idea from Example 3.1.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$ be an absolutely convergent series in $\mathbb{R}^m$ with $y_n \neq 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for every extreme point a of the achievement set $A(y_n)$, there is a unique sequence $(\varepsilon_n) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n y_n$.*

Proof. We will show that a is achieved for a unique sequence $(\varepsilon_n) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Suppose in contrary that $a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n y_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n y_n$ for two distinct sequences (ε_n) and (δ_n) , and put $M = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \varepsilon_n \neq \delta_n\}$. Divide M into two disjoint sets $M_\varepsilon = \{n \in M : \varepsilon_n = 1, \delta_n = 0\}$ and $M_\delta = \{n \in M : \varepsilon_n = 0, \delta_n = 1\}$. Then $a = \sum_{n \in M_\varepsilon} y_n + \sum_{n \in M_\delta} \varepsilon_n y_n = \sum_{n \in M_\delta} y_n + \sum_{n \in M^c} \varepsilon_n y_n$, so

$$a = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in M^c} \varepsilon_n y_n + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{n \in M} y_n + \sum_{n \in M^c} \varepsilon_n y_n \right) = \frac{1}{2} b + \frac{1}{2} c.$$

Since $b = \sum_{n \in M^c} \varepsilon_n y_n$ and $c = \sum_{n \in M} y_n + \sum_{n \in M^c} \varepsilon_n y_n$ we have $b, c \in A(y_n)$. Since a is the extreme point of $A(y_n)$, we get $b = c$, so $\sum_{n \in M} y_n = 0$. Since each y_n is nonzero, M has at least two elements. Assume that $n_0 \in M$, then $y_{n_0} + \sum_{l \in M \setminus \{n_0\}} y_l = 0$. Define $b' = b + y_{n_0}$ and $c' = c - y_{n_0}$. Then $b', c' \in A(y_n)$. We have $a = \frac{1}{2} b' + \frac{1}{2} c'$, and using again the assumption that a is the extreme point, we get $b' = c'$. But then $y_{n_0} = \sum_{l \in M \setminus \{n_0\}} y_l$ and $\sum_{n \in M} y_n = 0$, and therefore $y_{n_0} = 0$, which gives us a contradiction. $\square$

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ be a conditionally convergent series in $\mathbb{R}^k$ with $\text{SR}(x_n) = \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$ be an absolutely convergent series with $y_n \neq 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $A(x_n, y_n)$ is not closed.*

Proof. Let a be an extreme point of the achievement set $A(y_n)$; such a point exists because the achievement set $A(y_n)$ is compact. By Lemma 3.7 a point a has a unique representation $a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n y_n$. Hence, a -section of $A(x_n, y_n)$ is a singleton if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n x_n$ converges, or it is empty if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n x_n$ diverges. On the other hand $\{(x, a) : x \in \mathbb{R}^k\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k \times A(y_n) = A(x_n, y_n)$. Hence, $A(x_n, y_n)$ is not closed. $\square$

Now, we will prove that the achievement set of conditionally convergent series does not need to be neither F_σ nor G_δ . This will apply to the series from Example 3.5.

Let us start from giving definitions of some important notions from the descriptive set theory. A topological space is Polish if it is completely metrizable and separable. An $F_{\sigma\delta}$ subset A of a Polish space X is called Π_3^0 -complete if for any zero-dimensional Polish space Y and for any $F_{\sigma\delta}$ subset B of Y there is a continuous function $f : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $f^{-1}(A) = B$. It is known that Π_3^0 -complete sets are $F_{\sigma\delta}$ but not $G_{\delta\sigma}$ sets. To prove that an $F_{\sigma\delta}$ subset C of Polish space Z is Π_3^0 -complete, it is enough to take a known example A of a Π_3^0 -complete subset of a Polish space X and find a continuous function $g : X \rightarrow Z$ such that $g^{-1}(C) = A$. For more information we refer the reader to [13].

Proposition 3.9. *Let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ be a conditionally convergent series in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $A(x_n) = \mathbb{R}$. Then the set $E := \{(\varepsilon_n) \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n x_n \text{ converges}\}$ is Π_3^0 -complete subset of $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$.*

Proof. Note that

$$(\varepsilon_n) \in E \iff \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \exists l \in \mathbb{N} \forall M > m \geq l \quad \left(\left| \sum_{n=m}^M \varepsilon_n x_n \right| \leq \frac{1}{k} \right).$$

Therefore E is $F_{\sigma\delta}$ subset of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

To prove that E is Π_3^0 -complete we will use the fact that the set

$$C_3 := \{v \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v(n) = \infty\}$$

is Π_3^0 -complete, for details see [13, Section 23A]. It is enough to construct a continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $v \in C_3 \iff \psi(v) \in E$. Spaces $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ of 0-1 sequences and $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ of sequences of natural numbers are considered with the metric $d(x, y) = 2^{-n}$ where $n = \min\{k : x(k) \neq y(k)\}$.

One can define inductively sets $F_n = F_n(v)$ and $H_n = H_n(v)$ such that $F_0 = H_0 = \emptyset$ and for every $n \geq 1$

- (i) $F_n < H_n < F_{n+1}$, that is $\max F_n < \min H_n$, $\max H_n < \min F_{n+1}$;
- (ii) $|\sum_{k \in F_1 \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup F_n} x_k| < 2^{-n}$;
- (iii) $|\sum_{k \in F_1 \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup F_n \cup H_n} x_k - 2^{-v(n)}| < 2^{-n}$;
- (iv) $x_k < 0$ for $k \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} F_n$ and $x_k > 0$ for $k \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} H_n$.

Note that the above construction can be made uniformly in the sense that if $v(i) = v'(i)$ for $i \leq n$, then $F_i(v) = F_i(v')$ and $H_i(v) = H_i(v')$ for $i \leq n$.

Now, we define $\psi : \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ as follows. Let $\psi(v)$ be a characteristic function of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n(v) \cup H_n(v)$. Since the construction is uniform, then for $v, v' \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $v(i) = v'(i)$ for $i \leq n$ we have $d(\psi(v), \psi(v')) \leq 2^{-n}$. Therefore ψ is continuous. We will prove that $v \in C_3 \iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi(v)(n)x_n$ is convergent.

If $v \notin C_3$, then there are $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and an infinite set $L \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $v(l) = m$ for all $l \in L$. Thus by the construction, a series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi(v)(n)x_n$ diverges, since the sequence of partial sums $(\sum_{n=1}^N \psi(v)(n)x_n)$ has, by (ii) and (iii), two accumulation points - 0 and 2^{-m} .

If $v \in C_3$, then $2^{-v(n)} \rightarrow 0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There is $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{-v(n)}, 2^{-n} < \varepsilon/2$ for $n \geq l$. Let $n \geq l$. By (ii) and (iii) we obtain

$$|\sum_{k \in F_1 \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup F_n} x_k| = |\sum_{k=1}^{\max F_n} \psi(v)(k)x_k| < 2^{-n} < \varepsilon$$

and

$$|\sum_{k \in F_1 \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup F_n \cup H_n} x_k - 2^{-v(n)}| = |\sum_{k=1}^{\max H_n} \psi(v)(k)x_k - 2^{-v(n)}| < 2^{-n} + 2^{-v(n)} < \varepsilon.$$

By (iv) we have also

$$|\sum_{k=1}^M \psi(v)(k)x_k| < 2^{-n}$$

for every $M \geq \max H_l$. Thus $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi(v)(n)x_n$ converges to zero. $\square$

The similar result to Proposition 3.9 was proved by Cohen in [7] – having conditionally convergent series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, the set of all permutations σ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{\sigma(n)}$ converges, is a Π_3^0 -complete subset of S_{∞} . The constructed example of a Π_3^0 -complete set in Proposition 3.9 will be used to prove the following.

Theorem 3.10. *Let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ be a conditionally convergent series in $\mathbb{R}$, and let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$ be an absolutely convergent series such that the function $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \ni (\varepsilon_n) \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n y_n$ is one-to-one. Then the achievement set $A(x_n, y_n)$ is a Borel subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$ which is neither G_{δ} nor F_{σ} .*

Proof. Note that

$$(x, y) \in A(x_n, y_n) \iff \exists (\varepsilon_n) \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \forall m \exists l \forall k \geq l (|x - \sum_{n=1}^k \varepsilon_n x_n| < \frac{1}{m} \text{ and } |y - \sum_{n=1}^k \varepsilon_n y_n| < \frac{1}{m})$$

which shows that $A(x_n, y_n)$ is Σ_1^1 as a projection of Borel set, and

$$(x, y) \in A(x_n, y_n) \iff \exists! (\varepsilon_n) \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \forall m \exists l \forall k \geq l (|x - \sum_{n=1}^k \varepsilon_n x_n| < \frac{1}{m} \text{ and } |y - \sum_{n=1}^k \varepsilon_n y_n| < \frac{1}{m})$$

which shows that $A(x_n, y_n)$ is Π_1^1 , see [13, 18.11]. By Suslin Theorem $A(x_n, y_n)$ is Borel.

By Theorem 3.6 the achievement set $A(x_n, y_n)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R} \times A(y_n)$. However every horizontal section of $A(x_n, y_n)$ consists of at most one point. Suppose that $A(x_n, y_n)$ is a G_{δ} . Then it would be a comeager subset of $\mathbb{R} \times A(y_n)$, and therefore almost all of its horizontal sections in the sense of category would be comeager in $\mathbb{R}$. That would be a contradiction since each its horizontal section is at most one-point.

Suppose that $A(x_n, y_n)$ is an F_{σ} subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then it would be a countable union of compact sets, and consequently its projection $\text{proj}_2(A(x_n, y_n))$ on the second coordinate would be a countable union of compact sets, that is an F_{σ} set. But $\text{proj}_2(A(x_n, y_n))$ is homeomorphic to the set E from Lemma 3.9 which is not F_{σ} . A contradiction. $\square$

We have also considered whether or not in Theorem 3.6 the condition that the sum range of the conditionally convergent series is the whole space, can be replaced by the same condition for the achievement set of the series. The following proposition shows us that in some cases we can reverse Theorem 3.6.

Proposition 3.11. *Assume that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ is conditionally convergent in $\mathbb{R}^k$. If $A(x_n)$ is a dense subset of $\mathbb{R}^k$, then $SR(x_n) = \mathbb{R}^k$.*

Proof. Suppose that $SR(x_n) \neq \mathbb{R}^k$. By Proposition 3.2 we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T(y_n, z_n)$, where $T : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{k-m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is an isomorphism and $SR(y_n) = \mathbb{R}^m$ for some m with $1 \leq m < k$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$ is an absolutely convergent series in $\mathbb{R}^{k-m}$. Without loss of generality we may assume $x_n = (y_n, z_n)$. Hence $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (y_n, z_n)$. Thus by Theorem 3.6 and compactness of $A(z_n)$ we have $\overline{A(x_n)} = \mathbb{R}^m \times A(z_n) \neq \mathbb{R}^k$ which yields a contradiction. $\square$

By Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 3.11 we immediately obtain the following.

Corollary 3.12. *Let $(x_n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ be such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ is conditionally convergent and such that $A(x_n)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^k$. Let $(y_n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ be such that a series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$ is absolutely convergent. Then $\overline{A(x_n, y_n)} = \mathbb{R}^k \times A(y_n)$.*

On the other hand, one can construct the series on the plane, which sum range is $\mathbb{R}^2$ but the achievement set is a dense set of measure zero.

Example 3.13. Let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n, y_n)$ be defined as $x_i = \frac{(-1)^i}{2^{10^{k^2}}}$ and $y_i = \frac{(-1)^i}{2^k}$ for $i \in (n_{k-1}, n_k]$, where $n_0 = 0$ and $n_{k+1} = n_k + 2^{10^{k^2}+1}$. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n, y_n)$ is alternating and $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (0, 0)$, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n, y_n)$ is convergent to $(0, 0)$. Firstly, we will show that $SR(x_n, y_n) = \mathbb{R}^2$. Let $\Gamma = \{f \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^* : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(x_n, y_n)| < \infty\}$ be a set of all convergence functionals for our series. We need to prove that Γ does not contain nontrivial functionals. Every $f \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^*$ is of the form $f(x, y) = ax + by$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. We have

$$\sum_{i=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_k} |y_i| = (n_k - n_{k-1}) \frac{1}{2^k} = 2^{10^{k^2}+1-k} \text{ and } \sum_{i=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_k} |x_i| = (n_k - n_{k-1}) \frac{1}{2^{10^{k^2}}} = 2.$$

If $a = 0$ and $b \neq 0$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f(x_i, y_i)| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_k} |by_i| = |b| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{10^{k^2}+1-k} = \infty.$$

If $a \neq 0$ and $b = 0$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f(x_i, y_i)| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_k} |ax_i| = |a| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2 = \infty.$$

Now let us consider $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$. See that for large enough natural number k , which satisfies the inequality $\frac{|a|}{2^{10^{k^2}-k}} < \frac{|b|}{2}$, we have $\frac{|a|}{2^{10^{k^2}}} + \frac{|b|}{2^k} \geq \frac{|b|}{2^k} - \frac{|a|}{2^{10^{k^2}}} \geq \frac{|b|}{2^{k+1}}$ and the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_k} \frac{|b|}{2^{k+1}}$ diverges. Consequently

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f(x_i, y_i)| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_k} |ax_i + by_i| = \infty.$$

From the Steiniz theorem we have $SR(x_n, y_n) = \mathbb{R}^2$.

Now we will show that $A(x_n, y_n) \subseteq (L \cup \mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{R}$, where $L = \{x : \forall r \in \mathbb{N} \exists p, q \in \mathbb{Z} (0 < |x - \frac{p}{q}| < \frac{1}{q^r})\}$ is the set of all Liouville numbers on the real line. It is well-known that L has the Lebesgue measure zero. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $(x, y) \in A(x_n, y_n)$, that is $(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n (x_n, y_n)$. Then there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\sum_{n=N}^M \varepsilon_n y_n| \leq 1$ for every $M > N \geq l$. There exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ for which n_{k_0-1} is not less than l , so $|\sum_{i=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_k} \varepsilon_i y_i| \leq 1$ for every $k \geq k_0$. Note that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{10^{k^2}-k-1}{10^{(k-1)^2}} = \infty$ and the sequence $(\frac{10^{k^2}-k-1}{10^{(k-1)^2}})$ is strictly increasing. Assume that k is the minimal natural number such that $k \geq k_0$ and $\frac{10^{k^2}-k-1}{10^{(k-1)^2}} \geq r$. Let $m \geq k$. Since $|\sum_{i=n_{m-1}+1}^{n_m} \varepsilon_i y_i| \leq 1$ and $|y_i| = \frac{1}{2^m}$ for $i \in (n_{m-1}, n_m]$, we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{i \in (n_{m-1}, n_m] \cap 2\mathbb{N}} \varepsilon_i - \sum_{i \in (n_{m-1}, n_m] \cap (2\mathbb{N}-1)} \varepsilon_i \right| \leq 2^m.$$

The last inequality means that the excess of ones in the sequence $(\varepsilon_i)_{i \in (n_{m-1}, n_m]}$ with odd indexes over those with even indexes is less than 2^m and vice versa. Consequently, $|\sum_{i=n_{m-1}+1}^{n_m} \varepsilon_i x_i| \leq \frac{2^m}{2^{10^{m^2}}}$. Moreover $\sum_{i=1}^{n_{k-1}} \varepsilon_i x_i = \frac{p_0}{2^{10^{(k-1)^2}}}$ for some $p_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have

$$\left| \sum_{i=n_{k-1}+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i x_i \right| \leq \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{i=n_{m-1}+1}^{n_m} \varepsilon_i x_i \right| \leq \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} \frac{2^m}{2^{10^{m^2}}} = \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{10^{m^2}-m}}.$$

Note that

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2^{10(m+1)^2-m-1}}}{\frac{1}{2^{10m^2-m}}} = \frac{2^{10m^2-m}}{2^{10(m+1)^2-m-1}} = 2^{10m^2-m-10(m+1)^2+m+1} = 2^{1-(1-10^{2m+1})10^{m^2}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$\sum_{m=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{10m^2-m}} \leq \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{10k^2-k}} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{m-k}} = \frac{2}{2^{10k^2-k}} = 2^{1+k-10k^2}.$$

Since $1+k-10k^2 \leq -10^{(k-1)^2}r$, then

$$\left| \sum_{i=n_{k-1}+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i x_i \right| \leq 2^{-10^{(k-1)^2}r} = \frac{1}{(2^{10^{(k-1)^2}})^r}.$$

Hence $|x - \sum_{i=1}^{n_{k-1}} \varepsilon_i x_i| = |\sum_{i=n_{k-1}+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i x_i| \leq \frac{1}{(2^{10^{(k-1)^2}})^r}$. Thus $|x - \frac{p_0}{q_0}| \leq \frac{1}{q_0^r}$ with $q_0 = 2^{10^{(k-1)^2}}$. That means that either x is a rational number or x is a Liouville number. Finally we obtain $A(x_n, y_n) \subseteq (L \cup \mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{R}$. Therefore $A(x_n, y_n)$ is of measure zero.

4. OPENESS OF ACHIEVEMENT SETS

In this section we show that for some series on the plane its achievement set can be an open set not equal to the whole plane or an open set with two additional points. Such sets are unbounded, since bounded achievement sets are compact.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k = X < \infty$ with $x_k > 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let it satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i) $A(x_k) + A(x_k) = [0, 2X]$
- (ii) *for every $a \in (0, 2X)$ there exists an interval $I_a \subseteq A(x_k)$ such that for all $t \in I_a$ there exists $z \in A(x_k)$ for which $t + z = a$*

If (y_k) is conditionally convergent and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k = Y$ then $A(\bar{x}_k, \bar{y}_k) = (0, 2X) \times \mathbb{R} \cup \{(0, 0), (2X, Y)\} := B$, where $\bar{x}_{2k-1} = \bar{x}_{2k} = x_k$ and $\bar{y}_{2k-1} = y_k, \bar{y}_{2k} = 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Observe that $A(\bar{x}_k, \bar{y}_k) \subseteq B$. Indeed $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\bar{x}_k, \bar{y}_k) = (2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k) = (2X, Y) \in A(\bar{x}_k, \bar{y}_k)$ and $(0, 0) \in A(\bar{x}_k, \bar{y}_k)$. Moreover $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k \bar{x}_k \in (0, 2X)$ if at the sequence (ε_k) there is at least one 0 and 1. Hence $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k (\bar{x}_k, \bar{y}_k) \subseteq B$ for every $(\varepsilon_k) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

To prove the reversed inclusion, it is sufficient to show that for every $(a, b) \in (0, 2X) \times \mathbb{R}$ we have $(a, b) \in A(\bar{x}_k, \bar{y}_k)$. Let $a \in (0, 2X)$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $I_a \subseteq A(x_k)$ be an interval, which satisfies (ii). Then from the absolute convergence of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$ one can fix $(\varepsilon_1^a, \dots, \varepsilon_{k_a}^a) \in \{0, 1\}^{k_a}$ for which $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k^a x_k \in I_a$ for every $(\varepsilon_k^a)_{k=k_a+1}^{\infty} \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. From the conditional convergence of the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k$ we can get the equality $b = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k y_k$ for some $(\delta_k) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, where $\delta_k = \varepsilon_k^a$ for $k \leq k_a$. Then for $t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k x_k \in I_a$ we define $z = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{\delta}_k x_k$, where $(\bar{\delta}_k) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, such that $t + z = a$. Define the sequence $(\gamma_k) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ by alternating the previous sequences (δ_k) and $(\bar{\delta}_k)$, more precisely $\gamma_{2k-1} = \delta_k$ and $\gamma_{2k} = \bar{\delta}_k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence we have:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k (\bar{x}_k, \bar{y}_k) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k \bar{x}_k, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k \bar{y}_k \right) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k x_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{\delta}_k x_k, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k y_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{\delta}_k \cdot 0 \right) = (t + z, b + 0) = (a, b).$$

Finally we get $A(\bar{x}_k, \bar{y}_k) = (0, 2X) \times \mathbb{R} \cup \{(0, 0), (2X, Y)\}$. $\square$

Now we will construct the series on the plane with an open achievement set.

Theorem 4.2. *Let a series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k = X < \infty$ with $v_k > 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let it satisfy conditions (i) and (ii) from Theorem 4.1. Let (w_k) be the alternating sequence of non-zero terms of a conditionally convergent series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k$. If $x_{4k-3} = x_{4k-2} = v_k$, $x_{4k-1} = x_{4k} = -v_k$ and $y_{2k-1} = w_k$, $y_{2k} = 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then $A(x_k, y_k) = (-2X, 2X) \times \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. The inclusion $A(x_k, y_k) \subset [-2X, 2X] \times \mathbb{R}$ is obvious, since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k x_k \in [-2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k, 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k]$ for every $(\varepsilon_k) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Moreover, the only way to obtain $2X$ on the first coordinate is to sum up all x_k 's which are bigger than 0, more precisely $2X = \sum_{k \in P} x_k$ where $P = \{k : x_k > 0\} = \{4n - i : n \in \mathbb{N}, i \in \{2, 3\}\}$. Since (w_k) is alternating, we have $\sum_{k \in P} y_k = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} w_{2k-1} = \pm \infty$ where the sign $\pm$ depends on the sign of w_1 . Therefore $A(x_k, y_k) \cap \{(2X, y) : y \in \mathbb{R}\} = \emptyset$. In the same way we prove $A(x_k, y_k) \cap \{(-2X, y) : y \in \mathbb{R}\} = \emptyset$ by considering the set of indexes $\mathbb{N} \setminus P$. Hence $A(x_k, y_k) \subset (-2X, 2X) \times \mathbb{R}$.

Now we prove the reverse inclusion. Fix $(a, r) \in (0, 2X) \times \mathbb{R}$. Let I_a satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 4.1. Then we can find an even number N and $(\bar{\varepsilon}_k)_{k=1}^N \in \{0, 1\}^N$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^N \bar{\varepsilon}_k v_k + \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k v_k \in I_a$

for each $(\varepsilon_k)_{k=N+1}^\infty \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$. Let $r = \sum_{k=1}^N \bar{\varepsilon}_k w_k + \sum_{k=N+1}^\infty \delta_k w_k$, where $\delta_k \in \{0, 1\}$ for $k > N$. Define $t = \sum_{k=1}^N \bar{\varepsilon}_k v_k + \sum_{k=N+1}^\infty \delta_k v_k \in I_a$. Then we can find $z = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \gamma_k v_k \in A(v_k)$, such that $t + z = a$, where $\gamma_k \in \{0, 1\}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $(\alpha_k) \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$ as follows: $\alpha_k = \bar{\varepsilon}_i$ for odd $k \in \{1, \dots, 2N-1\}$, $\alpha_k = \delta_i$ for odd $k > 2N-1$, where $k = 2i-1$ and $\alpha_k = \gamma_{\frac{k}{2}}$ for even indexes. Hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty \alpha_k x_k = \sum_{k=1}^N \bar{\varepsilon}_k v_k + \sum_{k=N+1}^\infty \delta_k v_k + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \gamma_k v_k = t + z = a$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty \alpha_k y_k = \sum_{k=1}^N \bar{\varepsilon}_k w_k + \sum_{k=N+1}^\infty \delta_k w_k + 0 = r.$$

Hence $(0, 2X) \times \mathbb{R} \subset A(x_k, y_k)$. In the similar way we prove that $(-2X, 0) \times \mathbb{R} \subset A(x_k, y_k)$. Moreover for a given $r \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $r = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \beta_{2k-1} y_{2k-1}$ for some sequence $(\beta_{2k-1})_{k=1}^\infty \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$. Define $\beta_{4k} = \beta_{4k-3}$ and $\beta_{4k-2} = \beta_{4k-1}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty \beta_k y_k = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \beta_{4k-3} y_{4k-3} + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \beta_{4k-2} y_{4k-2} + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \beta_{4k-1} y_{4k-1} + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \beta_{4k} y_{4k} = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \beta_{2k-1} y_{2k-1} = r$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \beta_k x_k &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \beta_{4k-3} x_{4k-3} + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \beta_{4k-2} x_{4k-2} + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \beta_{4k-1} x_{4k-1} + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \beta_{4k} x_{4k} = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \beta_{4k-3} v_k + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \beta_{4k-1} v_k + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \beta_{4k-1} (-v_k) + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \beta_{4k-3} (-v_k) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

We get $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R} \subset A(x_k, y_k)$. Hence $A(x_k, y_k) = (-2X, 2X) \times \mathbb{R}$. $\square$

Example 4.3. Let $(x_n, y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = ((\frac{1}{2}, 1), (\frac{1}{2}, 0), (\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{2}), (\frac{1}{4}, 0), (\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{3}), (\frac{1}{8}, 0), (\frac{1}{16}, -\frac{1}{4}), (\frac{1}{16}, 0), \dots)$. Then by Theorem 4.1 we have $A(x_n, y_n) = (0, 2) \times \mathbb{R} \cup \{(0, 0), (2, \ln 2)\}$.

Example 4.4. Let $(x_n, y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = ((\frac{1}{2}, 1), (\frac{1}{2}, 0), (-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}), (-\frac{1}{2}, 0), (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}), (\frac{1}{4}, 0), (-\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}), (-\frac{1}{4}, 0), \dots)$. Then by Theorem 4.2 we have $A(x_n, y_n) = (-2, 2) \times \mathbb{R}$.

5. ACHIEVEMENT SETS OF POTENTIALLY CONDITIONALLY CONVERGENT SERIES

In this section we consider the set $\bigcup_{\sigma \in S_\infty} A(x_{\sigma(k)})$ which is the union of achievement sets of all rearrangements of a series $\sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k$. If the underlay series is absolutely convergent, then $\bigcup_{\sigma \in S_\infty} A(x_{\sigma(k)})$ equals $A(x_n)$. Here we study a situation when the given series is conditionally convergent. Clearly only the multidimensional case is interesting.

We say that the series $\sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k$ is potentially conditionally convergent if there exists a permutation σ for which the rearranged series $\sum_{k=1}^\infty x_{\sigma(k)}$ is conditionally convergent. For example on the real line $\sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k$ is potentially conditionally convergent if and only if $x_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\sum_{\{k: x_k > 0\}} x_k = \infty$, $\sum_{\{k: x_k < 0\}} x_k = -\infty$. We will also consider the set $A_{\text{abs}}(x_k) = \{\sum_{k=1}^\infty \varepsilon_k x_k : \sum_{k=1}^\infty \varepsilon_k \|x_k\| < \infty, \varepsilon_k \in \{0, 1\} \text{ for each } k \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\sum_{k=1}^\infty y_k$ be an absolutely convergent series such that the function $(\varepsilon_k) \rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^\infty \varepsilon_k y_k$, where $(\varepsilon_k) \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$ is injective. Assume that $\sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k$ is conditionally convergent. Let $X = \{\sum_{k=1}^\infty \varepsilon_k x_k : \sum_{k=1}^\infty \varepsilon_k x_k \text{ is potentially conditionally convergent}\}$. Then

- (i) $\bigcap_{\sigma \in S_\infty} A(x_{\sigma(k)}, y_{\sigma(k)}) = A_{\text{abs}}(x_k, y_k)$.
- (ii) $\bigcup_{\sigma \in S_\infty} A(x_{\sigma(k)}, y_{\sigma(k)}) = (X \times \mathbb{R}) \cup A_{\text{abs}}(x_k, y_k)$.

Proof. Let $(x, y) \in A_{\text{abs}}(x_k, y_k)$ and $\sigma \in S_\infty$, then $(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \varepsilon_k(x_k, y_k) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \varepsilon_{\sigma(k)}(x_{\sigma(k)}, y_{\sigma(k)})$, because $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \varepsilon_k \|(x_k, y_k)\| = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \|\varepsilon_k(x_k, y_k)\| < \infty$ and we know that every absolutely convergent series is unconditionally convergent. Hence $(x, y) \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in S_\infty} A(x_{\sigma(k)}, y_{\sigma(k)})$.

Suppose that $(x, y) \notin A_{\text{abs}}(x_k, y_k)$. If $(x, y) \notin A(x_k, y_k)$, then $(x, y) \notin \bigcap_{\sigma \in S_\infty} A(x_{\sigma(k)}, y_{\sigma(k)})$. Assume that $(x, y) \in A(x_k, y_k)$ and $(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \varepsilon_k(x_k, y_k)$. Since $(x, y) \notin A_{\text{abs}}(x_k, y_k)$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \varepsilon_k \|(x_k, y_k)\| = \infty$. One can find $\sigma \in S_\infty$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \varepsilon_{\sigma(k)} x_{\sigma(k)} = \bar{x} \neq x$. From the absolute convergence of $\sum_{k=1}^\infty y_k$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \varepsilon_{\sigma(k)}(x_{\sigma(k)}, y_{\sigma(k)}) = (\bar{x}, y)$. We assumed that the second coordinate is obtained for at most one sequence (ε_k) , so $\sigma = \text{id}$ and in consequence $\bar{x} = x$, which give us contradiction.

Now we will prove the second equality.

„ $\subseteq$ ”. Let $(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \varepsilon_k(x_{\sigma(k)}, y_{\sigma(k)})$ for some $(\varepsilon_k) \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma \in S_\infty$. We have two possibilities:

- (1) If the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k y_{\sigma(k)}$ is absolutely convergent, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{\sigma^{-1}(k)} x_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k x_{\sigma(k)} = x$ and also $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{\sigma^{-1}(k)} y_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k y_{\sigma(k)} = y$. Hence the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{\sigma^{-1}(k)} (x_k, y_k)$ is absolutely convergent, so $(x, y) \in A_{\text{abs}}(x_k, y_k)$.
- (2) If the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k y_{\sigma(k)}$ is conditionally convergent, then it is also potentially conditionally convergent, so $(x, y) \in X \times \mathbb{R}$.

„ $\supseteq$ ”. From the first equality we know that $A_{\text{abs}}(x_k, y_k) = \bigcap_{\sigma \in S_{\infty}} A(x_{\sigma(k)}, y_{\sigma(k)}) \subset \bigcup_{\sigma \in S_{\infty}} A(x_{\sigma(k)}, y_{\sigma(k)})$, so it is enough to show that $\mathbb{R} \times Y \subset \bigcup_{\sigma \in S_{\infty}} A(x_{\sigma(k)}, y_{\sigma(k)})$. Fix $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y \in Y$, then there exist a sequence $(\varepsilon_k) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\sigma \in S_{\infty}$ such that $y = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k y_{\sigma(k)}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k x_{\sigma(k)}$ converge. One can rearrange the terms of the conditionally convergent series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ such that $a = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{\tau(k)} x_{\tau(\sigma(k))}$. Since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k$ is absolutely convergent, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{\tau(k)} y_{\tau(\sigma(k))} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k y_{\sigma(k)} = y$. Hence $(a, y) \in A(x_{\tau(\sigma(k))}, y_{\tau(\sigma(k))})$. $\square$

By Theorem 3.6 the achievement set $A(x_n, y_n)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R} \times A(y_n)$. So is $S = \bigcup_{\sigma \in S_{\infty}} A(x_{\sigma(k)}, y_{\sigma(k)})$. By Theorem 5.1 a vertical section S_x equals to $\mathbb{R}$ if $x \in X$; it is a singleton if $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k x_k$ is absolutely convergent, and it is empty if $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k x_k$ is not potentially conditionally convergent. Hence the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k x_k$ has only finitely many positive elements or only finitely many negative elements.

Let us finish the paper with the list of open questions:

- (1) Does there exist a conditionally convergent series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ on the plane such that $A(x_n)$ is a graph of a function with a domain being a bounded interval?
- (2) Let $SR(x_n) = \mathbb{R}^k$. Is it true that either $A(x_n) = \mathbb{R}^k$ or $A(x_n)$ is of measure zero?
- (3) Lemma 3.3 implies that the achievement sets of conditionally convergent series in finite dimensional spaces are unbounded. On the other hand there is an example of conditionally convergent series in c_0 with a closed and bounded achievement set, see Example 3.4. Obviously such series can be found in every Banach space containing isomorphic copy of c_0 . Note that the series from Example 3.4 has the unbounded achievement set in ℓ_1 (and it is a well-known fact that ℓ_1 does not contain a copy of c_0). Is there a conditionally convergent series in ℓ_1 with a bounded and closed achievement set?
- (4) In the proof of Theorem 3.10, we show that the achievement set is analytic. Moreover there are analytic sets which are not Borel. Is there a (conditionally convergent) series which achievement set is non-Borel?

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Banach, A. Bartoszewicz, M. Filipczak, E. Szymonik, Topological and measure properties of some self-similar sets, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, 46(2) (2015), 1013–1028
- [2] T. Banach, A. Bartoszewicz, S. Głąb, E. Szymonik, Algebraic and topological properties of some sets in ℓ_1 , *Colloq. Math.* 129 (2012), 75–85.
- [3] T. Banach, A. Bartoszewicz, S. Głąb, E. Szymonik, Erratum to ”Algebraic and topological properties of some sets in ℓ_1 ” (*Colloq. Math.* 129 (2012), 75–85) *Colloq. Math.* 135 (2014), no. 2, 295–298.
- [4] A. Bartoszewicz, S. Głąb, Achievement sets on the plane – perturbations of geometric and multigeometric series, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 77 (2015) 84–93.
- [5] A. Bartoszewicz, M. Filipczak, F. Prus-Wiśniowski, Topological and algebraic aspects of subsums of series. Traditional and present-day topics in real analysis, 345–366, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science. University of Łódź, Łódź, 2013.
- [6] A. Bartoszewicz, M. Filipczak, E. Szymonik, Multigeometric sequences and Cantorvals, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* 12(7) (2014), 1000–1007.
- [7] M.P. Cohen, The descriptive complexity of series rearrangements. *Real Anal. Exchange* 38 (2012/13), no. 2, 337–352.
- [8] C. Ferens, On the range of purely atomic probability measures, *Studia Math.* 77 (1984), 261–263.
- [9] J.A. Guthrie, J.E. Neymann, The topological structure of the set of subsums of an infinite series, *Colloq. Math.* 55:2 (1988), 323–327.
- [10] R. Jones, Achievement sets of sequences, *Am. Math. Mon.* 118:6 (2011), 508–521.
- [11] M. I. Kadets, V. M. Kadets, Series in Banach spaces. Conditional and unconditional convergence. Translated from the Russian by Andrei Iacob. *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, 94. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1997. viii+156 pp.
- [12] S. Kakeya, On the partial sums of an infinite series, *Tôhoku Sic. Rep.* 3 (1914), 159–164.
- [13] A. S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*. Springer, New York (1995).
- [14] P. Mendes, F. Oliveira, On the topological structure of the arithmetic sum of two Cantor sets, *Nonlinearity*. 7 (1994), 329–343.
- [15] M. Morán, Fractal series. *Mathematika* 36 (1989), no. 2, 334–348 (1990).
- [16] M. Morán, Dimension functions for fractal sets associated to series. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 120 (1994), no. 3, 749–754.
- [17] J.E. Neymann, R.A. Sáenz, On the paper of Guthrie and Nymann on subsums of infinite series, *Colloq. Math.* 83 (2000), 1–4.
- [18] Z. Nitecki, The subsum set of a null sequence, arXiv:1106.3779v1
- [19] Z. Nitecki, Cantorvals and subsum sets of null sequences. *Amer. Math. Monthly* 122 (2015), no. 9, 862–870.

- [20] A.D. Weinstein, B.E. Shapiro, On the structure of a set of $\overline{\alpha}$ -representable numbers, *Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved. Matematika*. 24 (1980), 8–11.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ŁÓDŹ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WÓLCZAŃSKA 215, 93-005 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND
E-mail address: `arturbar@p.lodz.pl`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ŁÓDŹ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WÓLCZAŃSKA 215, 93-005 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND
E-mail address: `szymon.glab@p.lodz.pl`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ŁÓDŹ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WÓLCZAŃSKA 215, 93-005 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND
E-mail address: `marchewajacław@gmail.com`